

Nirmla Devi vs. Pawan Kumar

Present: Sh.Amit Kumar, Adv. Counsel for petitioner.
Sh.S.P.Sehgal Adv. Counsel for respondent.

Ld. Counsel for respondent has given a statement that previous written statement be read as same after amendment of the petition. Considered. Respondent has denied the relationship of landlord and tenant. Therefore, no provisional assessment of rent can be made. Petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder if he want to do so. From the pleading of the parties following issues are framed as under:-

1. Whether there exists relationship of landlord and tenant between the parties? OPP.
2. If issue No.1 is proved, whether the petitioner is entitled for the ejectment of the respondent on the basis of ground mentioned in the petition? OPP
3. Whether the present petition is not maintainable in the present form?OPR
4. Whether the petitioner has no locus standi to file the present petition? OPR
5. Whether the petitioner has no cause of action to file the present petition? OPR
6. Relief.

No other issue arises or is pressed for. Now to come up 22.10.2019 for evidence of the petitioner. PF/DM/ list of witnesses be also filed within seven days.

(Mandeep Singh)
Rent Controller/Gidderbaha.
UID No.PB0409.

Date of Order:09.09.2019.
(Raman, Stenographer Gr.III)